

SRI K. K. HEGDE.—Wherever possible we are conducting exhibitions and opening stalls, arranging cinema shows, publishing pamphlets and books, etc. By various methods we are giving publicity. If the Hon'ble Member has got any suggestions he is welcome...

SRI S. D. KOTHAVALA.—May I know whether it is a fact that there is an idea to bring a Bill before the Legislature in regard to vesicotomy as a birth control measure?

SRI K. K. HEGDE.—It has not come to the notice of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಎನ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಸಂತಾನ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣದ ಯೋಜನೆ ಪುರುಷರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪರಿಣಾಮಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಸ್ತ್ರೀಯರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪರಿಣಾಮಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

SRI K. K. HEGDE.—It is successful in both.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ವರ.—ಕೆಲವು ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಂಗಸರಿಗೆ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಶಸ್ತ್ರ ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದೂ, ಕೆಲವು ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಗಂಡಸರೇ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಗೊಂದಲಗಳಿದ್ದಿರವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿವೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಇಬ್ಬರ ಒಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡೇ ಈ ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE. Are the Government aware that men are not getting sufficient co-operation from ladies in the family planning and if so, what are the steps the Government is going to take to persuade them?

SRI K. K. HEGDE.—It is mutual. If one is prepared to sacrifice for the sake of another, it is sufficient.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಜನ ಗಂಡು ಮಕ್ಕಳು, ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಜನ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಏನಾ ಧರ್ಮ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಸರಕಾರವೇನೂ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಸಲಹೆ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಮೂರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಮಕ್ಕಳು-ಇಂತಿಹ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಲಹೆಗೆ ಸನ್ನಿಹಿತರಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಕೆಲಸ ಸಾಗಿ ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಸೇವಕರನ್ನು ಸೇವಕ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯುವಕರನ್ನು ಸೇವಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಮನಸ್ಸಾದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇವಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳೇ ಸೇವಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಪಾರ್ಥಸಾರಥಿ.—ಈ ಫ್ಯಾಮಿಲಿ ರಾನ್ಸಿಂಗ್ನ ಉಳಿಸಬೇಕಾದರೆ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವವರಿಗೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಕವನ್ನೇ ನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡುವುದೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಲ್ಲದವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಲು, ಮನಸ್ಸು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ, ಹಿಂದೂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ಮುಸ್ಲಿಮ್ ಕ್ರೈಸ್ತರಲ್ಲಿ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಇದರ ಅಂಕಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಷ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ತರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಹೀಗೆ ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡಮೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೊರಟರೆ ಸದ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಚೀನಾ ಬಾಡ-ರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯುದ್ಧವಾದರೆ ಅಗಲುವ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚಿಸಬೇಕಾದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಹಾಗೇನಾದರೂ ಯುದ್ಧವಾದರೂ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

MR. SPEAKER.—Questions are over.

PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE

SRI B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Sir, I lay on the Table Notification No. HD 61 MVT 57, dated 12th October 1959, issued under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 16 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1947.

Business of the House

SRI C. K. RAJIAH SETTY (Chiknaikanahalli).—Mr. Speaker, in the list of business there is an item "Motion under rule 279". You are aware that we are in a disturbed atmosphere and even today morning at 9 O'Clock in the Upper House this subject was discussed. If that is taken up at a late stage here there may not be enough time for discussion. That being very important more than anything else it is necessary that it should be taken up now alone.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—Even yesterday, i.e. on the 7th, when this matter was raised in this House, the Hon'ble Revenue Minister was kind enough to say that it would be taken up on the 8th. The question was raised on the 8th and the Chair was pleased to say that it would be taken up today. In the List of

Business it is put down as a fourth item as Motion under rule 279 to be moved by the Chief Minister. I do not know if there will be time for discussing it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have allotted enough time for the motion to be discussed i.e. for 3½ hours. I have also taken care to see that the discussion takes place for the full period of three and a half hours. Now even if the third item is taken up first it will be finished in one hour. Afterwards there will be enough time to discuss the motion under rule 279.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—The opinion of the entire House is that the motion under rule 279 be taken up now. The Chief Minister should have moved it in this House first before it was moved in the other House. Even after that, it should have been given priority over other business in this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry the Hon'ble Member was not present when the business for the day was discussed. It was decided yesterday that questions should be taken up only for half an hour, and the consideration of the Bill for an hour and the rest of the time should be utilised for the motion under rule 279. It was also decided that there should be no recess today. That was the arrangement made.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA Gubbi).—It is true that we agreed that the first half hour should be for questions and the rest of the time for the P.S.C. Bill. Now we find that a fourth item has been included. This is the third occasion that this House is very lightly treated. This is the second agenda that we have received. One fine morning Government took a decision to initiate debate on motion under rule 279 in the Upper House. Today the Chief Minister with all his jubilant way of approaching the subject-matter went and initiated the debate in the Upper House and at the tilend they want us to take up this matter here. What is the intention of Government in treating this House so lightly? You please give us a guarantee that if a matter of such importance has to be discussed it should be initiated in this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall explain.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—It is the Government that should explain.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is in respect of the agenda for which I am responsible.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Chair also has been insulted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In the beginning it was thought that the P.S.C. Bill would be finished by the end of the day i.e. yesterday. At the fag end it was found not possible and the members wanted one hour more. With due deference to the wishes of the members, it was decided with unanimous consent of the House, that this Bill should be taken up for an hour today and the rest of the time should be given for the consideration of the motion under rule 279. It was also decided yesterday that the time allowed for recess should be cancelled. So there is nothing fishy about it. It has been done with the open consent of the House for which Government is not responsible. If at all, I am responsible for it.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—If the Speaker says that he is responsible, we in this House also have to share it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is very kind of you. These are the facts. We shall now take up the Bill and finish it by 2-30 P.M. and then we will take up the motion under rule 279.

Sri G. VENKATAI GONDA (Palyam).—Discussing the Bill is a routine matter. We have to give importance to the motion under rule 279.

†Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapur).—It is quite correct that the decision taken yesterday was as stated by the Speaker now. Yesterday all of us thought that the discussion of the Public Service Commission Bill would be over. But several members were very anxious to speak on the Bill which we had not expected. So the House was willing that they should get time to speak on the Bill and it was tentatively fixed that the discussion should continue today. Since then two new circumstances have arisen in this matter. One thing is that we expected that the

(SRI V. SRINIVAS SHETTY)

discussion would be initiated in this House, but we were later on told that the discussion would be initiated earlier in the Upper House. That is a new thing which has been sprung on us and we came to know that at a later stage. Why the Upper House was given an opportunity to discuss this earlier is a thing which I cannot understand. Since that has happened, I can now suggest only a slight variation in the order of Business for today. Let members who are anxious to speak on the Bill be given time and for that purpose let us vary the order and let us take the discussion of the Bill at a later stage and I do not think there can be any difficulty about that. We will just now take up the discussion on the resolution to be moved by the Chief Minister and thereafter we shall take up the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I must clear one or two doubts that have arisen in the minds of members. Members are under the impression that it was deliberately planned that the Upper House should be given an earlier opportunity to discuss the motion. That is not so.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA (Channapatna).—We have no such notion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then I can only say this. Yesterday myself, the Chairman and the Chief Minister sat together and we decided that this question of taking into consideration the present conditions prevailing in Mysore and Bangalore should be taken up today in both the Houses. It was not possible to take it up simultaneously in both the Houses because the Chief Minister is the Minister mainly responsible for this motion and his presence was necessary in both the Houses. That is why it was thought that it would be more convenient if the Upper House sat in the morning and considered it and the Lower House in the evening as usual. At that time it never occurred to us that it would in any way come in the way of the proper exercise of the powers and privileges of this House. I am always very anxious that the rights and privileges of this House should be

maintained and continued. I have also explained to some of the members who saw me in my chamber that this was done unwittingly and that we did not anticipate any trouble at all. We are anxious that the discussion should be as free and full as possible and should be finished today. It may mean that we may have to sit a little longer, but we have to finish it today only.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore).—Is it not a convention that the Leader of the Opposition is also taken into confidence in such matters?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is the intention of Hon'ble Members that in such cases the Leader of the Opposition also should be consulted I have no objection. I have personally no objection to consult the Leader of the Opposition, but we thought that it was not necessary because we were sitting together and allotting certain time and trying to adjust the discussion between ourselves. If it is suggested to me that I should take the Leader of the Opposition into confidence, I will be the first person to do it.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—We wanted to know what happened to that convention on this occasion and why this decision was taken without consulting the Leader of the Opposition.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We are here to work as smoothly as possible. I have absolutely no desire to brush aside any member. I would like to consult all members and especially the Leader of the Opposition.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Sir, we are noticing some changes after your return from foreign tour and they are for the better. The Leader of the Opposition always used to be consulted in such matters. Why was he not consulted this time? That is my question. Yesterday there was also an assurance that this discussion would be given priority over the normal business of the House. So I cannot understand why this change has been made. If you had been convinced that this discussion should be given top priority then without anybody making any request you should have given priority to this discussion. If that is not given priority, what is the good of our sending adjournment motions? Of

course, I do compliment the Chief Minister because he has come out boldly to make a motion enabling the members to consider the law and order situation in Mysore and Bangalore Cities, but it would have been more fitting and better if we had taken up this discussion first leaving the normal work of the House to follow. I think there is absolutely no difficulty from the Government side for this.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯರು list of business change ಮಾಡುವಾಗ you have to take the leader of the opposition into confidence ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದೇ ಮಾತಿನಲ್ಲಿ it is not necessary ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಇದು ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾದುದು. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಮೇಲೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಆಪಾದನೆಯನ್ನು ತರಬೇಕೆಂದಾಗಲೇ, ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಗೌರವವನ್ನು ಕಡಮೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಾಗಲೇ ನಾನು ಇದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ನಮ್ಮ ಇಡೀ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾದಂಥದ್ದು. I take it that it is an insult to the Leader of the opposition and to the opposition.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳಲಿ.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—We have elected him as the Leader. We are the Opposition.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಡ ಒಂದು convention ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ತಾವು ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷ ಮತ್ತು ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಿಗೆ recognition ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಈಗ ಅವರನ್ನು ನಂಬಿಕೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ recognised opposition ಎಂದು ಏಕೆ ಇರಬೇಕು? ಏಕೆ recognition ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ recognised ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅವಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Hereafter will you kindly arrange the business in such a way that when discussion is to take place both in the Council and the Assembly, let not Government be allowed to give priority to the Council. I am not suggesting that it should not be put on an equal footing. The discussion must be arranged simultaneously.

2 P.M.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗ ನಾನು ಅವೇ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದು. ಮೇಲಿನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕೆಳಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಏನು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಇನ್ನೊಂದು

ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಯಾವ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವನ್ನೂ ಮುರಿದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಮನೆಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೃದುವಾದ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿವೆ ಅಷ್ಟನ್ನೂ ರಕ್ಷಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸ ನನ್ನದು. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೀತಿ ಭೇದ ಭಾವವಾದುವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಗ್ಲೆಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭೇದ ಭಾವವಾದುವುಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಕಾಣಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ದಿನದ ಸೂಚನೆಗೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ರೇ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಒಂದೇ ಸಮನಾಗಿ ಎರಡು ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಈ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಲಾರದೆಂಬ ಮಾತನ್ನು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ನಿಜವಾಗಿಯೂ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದು ಬೇರೆ. ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರ ಸಮ್ಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ವಿಚಾರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಯದೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಇಂಗ್ಲೆಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯನವರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಕಲಿತು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಇಂಗ್ಲೆಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಆಗದೇ ಇರಬಹುದು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಸಿದ್ಧನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಯಾವ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದನೇ ಹೊರತು ಕಾಲದ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಅನೇಕರು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನೀವು ರೂಲ್ 279ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಕಾರಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದನೇ ಹೊರತು ಬೇರೆ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಲು ನಾನು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪನವರು ಎರಡು ಸಭೆಗಳು ಯಾವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಬೇಕೆಂಬ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೂ, ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಿಗೂ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಮುಂದಾನೇ ಸೇರಬೇಕು, ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಸೇರಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನೂ ಮತ್ತು ಥೇರಮನ್ ಅವರೂ ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಸೇರಿ ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯ, ಈ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೂಡ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಎರಡು ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಒಂದೇ ಸಾರಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಇದು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದುದಾಗಿವೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಹಾಜರಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಅತ್ಯಾವಶ್ಯಕ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಕುರಿತುಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವೂ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರು ಒಂದೆರಡು ಮಾತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ. ಯಾರು ಯಾರೋ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಯಾರು ಯಾರೋ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ, ಆ ಮಾತನ್ನು ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. We are responsible Members of this House. I am elected as the Deputy Leader of our party.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I can only recognise the Leader of the Opposition in this House. In his absence, I will recognise the Chief Whip of the party. When the Leader of the Opposition is here, I cannot understand why the chief whip of the opposition party is taking the floor of the House.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—With the authorisation of the Leader of the Opposition that I spoke.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It cannot be done. When he is here, he cannot authorise another person. If there is any person who can speak on this question, it is the Leader of the Opposition. If he does not speak, it means that he has nothing to say on this matter. This is a very simple matter on which we need not dilate much. So far as the business of the House is concerned, if the Chief Minister agrees, I have no objection.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—I have no objection, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then, the motion can be moved.

LAW AND ORDER POSITION IN BANGALORE AND MYSORE

Motion to consider.

†**Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).**—I move:

“That the law and order position in Bangalore and Mysore Cities be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That the law and order position in Bangalore and Mysore Cities be taken into consideration.”

Before discussion on this important motion takes place, I would like to add a few words. First of all, as all the Hon'ble Members know, there is a lot of tension outside and we are here in order not to add to the tension outside but, so far as possible, to find out a solution in this respect. Unfortunately, incidents have happened for which all of us are sorry. But, I believe that the members would be as restrained as possible and be as constructive as

possible in a situation like this. I also believe that the situation can be improved if we extend our affection and love towards the misguided boys who have taken part in the agitation. I have thought over the matter and it is better that we sympathise with them and bring them round. It is not a question of hurling charges and counter-charges against each other.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, you yourself said that the students were misguided. That prejudices the matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When I say ‘misguided’, I do not mean to say that all are misguided; some of them might have been misguided and also the general feeling is that they are misguided. I only stress that the discussion will be as restrained as possible.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—We want the Chief Minister to say something on this and we want to hear him. If you say something in the matter, it would be very embarrassing to criticise the action of the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is an appeal that all of you should be as restrained as possible.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, before I explain the position regarding law and order in Mysore and Bangalore Cities, I think it is better to explain why the situation arose and what was the reason for the students' agitation. With this view, I will give the correct position regarding the Inter-University Youth Festival. That would be a good background to understand why the students agitate and why there was lawlessness and why it necessitated the Government to maintain law and order.

The Sixth Inter-University Youth Festival is being held at Mysore from 7th to 17th December. This Festival is essentially a closed session meant primarily for the participants drawn from 34 Universities in India. It is not a public performance by a group of artists. It consists of rehearsals and competitions. It is a cultural fete for the benefit of participating teams. The participants number over 1,500. The theatre and the Auditorium put up in front of the Crawford Hall accommodate 2,500 persons at a liberal estimate. The maximum number that could be